REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	29 October 2001
Name of applicant/court:	Secretary for Internal Affairs
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Hawk January 2001
Other Known Titles:	Hawk Magazine, Volume 10, Number 1, January 2001
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Killer Joe Productions Inc.
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Magazine
Country of Origin:	USA
Language:	English

● ●

Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

… Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

● ●

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable

● ●

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The publication entitled *Hawk January 2001* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This is because the publication tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes as outlined under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act).

The publication is a magazine, the focus of which is the explicit depiction of naked young women or teenagers said to be just over 18 years old. For the most part, models present as being adults and though there is a consistent emphasis given to youthfulness, there is no such emphasis on the females being underage. There are some images, however, which present females who appear to be in their mid teens, and this presentation combined with the accompanying text and the overall focus of the magazine, means that the magazine tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes.

This classification places a restriction on the freedom of expression outlined in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. The result of the publication falling within the criteria of s3(2) of the FVPC Act is prescribed by law, in accord with Parliament's intention that publications to which s3(2) criteria apply should be banned so as to prevent injury to the public good.

OFLC Ref: 100850